

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 \* \* \*

9 BOKF, NA,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12  
13 ROBERT ESTES, KAREN NILES, JERRY  
14 CARPENTER, SHIRLEY CARVEY, and  
15 JAMES CARPENTER and BECKY LYNN  
16 CARPENTER as Co-Trustees of the  
17 CARPENTER FAMILY REV TRUST UAD  
18 1/19/14,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-0694-LRH-(WGC)

ORDER

19 Before the court are plaintiff BOKF, NA's ("BOKF") motion for a temporary restraining  
20 order (ECF No. 43) and motion to shorten time (ECF No. 44).

21 In BOKF's motion for a temporary restraining order, BOKF identifies an upcoming  
22 discovery deadline in the underlying Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA")  
23 arbitration set for March 12, 2018, and contends that a temporary restraining order staying its  
24 obligation to comply with that discovery deadline is necessary to prevent any unnecessary  
25 expense while the court addresses BOKF's now fully briefed and pending motion for preliminary  
26 injunction (ECF No. 17). *See* ECF No. 43. Along with its motion, BOKF has requested an  
27 expedited briefing schedule that would complete all briefing on the present motion for a  
28 temporary restraining order no later than Friday, March 2, 2018. *See* ECF No. 44. BOKF


1 contends that such an expedited schedule is necessary to allow the court to address the merits of  
2 BOKF's present motion prior to the upcoming discovery deadline. *See* ECF No. 44.

3 The court has reviewed BOKF's motions and shall deny the motions as moot. The court  
4 is acutely cognizant of the pending motion for a preliminary injunction along with the upcoming  
5 discovery deadline in the FINRA arbitration. In this regard, the court is currently handling the  
6 pending motions in this action and expects an order addressing the merits of BOKF's motion for  
7 a preliminary injunction within two (2) weeks. As this would effectively be the same time period  
8 requested in the present motions, the court finds that its time and resources are more  
9 appropriately allocated to the pending motion for a preliminary injunction rather than additional  
10 briefing on the present motion for a temporary restraining order. Therefore, the court shall deny  
11 the present motions as moot.

12  
13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order  
14 (ECF No. 43) and motion to shorten time (ECF No. 44) are DENIED as moot.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED this 21st day of February, 2018.

17  
18   
19 LARRY R. HICKS  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28